Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/693,244	TSUJIMURA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DAVID TUROCY	1792
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>DAVID TUROCY</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Daniel Hu</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 April 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Ciamio diocussou.		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Applicants representative agreed to claim amendment that place the application in condition for allowance, including more distinctly claim the subject matter in claims 29-31 regarding stopping the flow of Silane but maintaining the flow of hydrogen to the system for deposition of microcrystalline film.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A)	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)